Dew et al would likely result in necrosis of the vein and therefore no reconstruction.

Remark 9:

With all due respect, Applicant requests the Examiner withdraw Roth as an anticipating reference

under 35 USC 103(a). Roth fails to teach an endovenous method of treating a varicose vein using a pull-

back device. Roth is directed to the treatment of enlarged prostate using an Nd:YAG laser. As described

above, the longer wavelength energy used in the present invention is selectively absorbed at a greater rate

than those of the Roth reference, and the endovenous process of destruction of varicose veins in the lower

trunk and legs is totally different than the method and apparatus for treatment of benign prostatic

hyperplasia (BPH) to which Roth is directed.

Remark 10:

With all due respect, Applicant requests the Examiner withdraw Conn and Makower as

anticipating references under 35 USC 103(a). Conn and Makower are both also directed to treatment of

the prostate as well as treatment of tumors and diseased tissue. These applications are fundamentally

different, utilize different equipment and operate in completely different organs of the body located in

different portions of the body.

Remark 11:

Finally, it is well settled that in order for references to be properly combined, there must be a

teaching in at least one of the references to suggest that the disclosure of any of the other references could

be modified to produce the Applicants' claimed invention. ACS Hospital System, Inc. v. Montefiore

Hospital et al., 221 U.S.P.Q. 929 (Fed. Cir. 1984); Orthopedic Equip. Co. v. U.S., 217 U.S.P.Q. 193

(Fed. Cir. 1983). Additionally, absent some suggestion or incentive, the teachings of references may not

be combined. ACS, supra, 221 U.S.P.Q. 933, In re Rinehart, 531 F. 2d 1048, 189 U.S.P.Q. 143 (C.C.P.A.

Title: ENDOVENOUS CLOSURE OF VARICOSE VEINS WITH MID INFRARED LASER Serial No.: 10/699,212

Attorney Docket No.: NSL-501

1976).

In the present case, there would be no incentive to combine the teachings of Goldman et al with

that of Dew et al. Utilizing the methodology and apparatus of Dew et al to perform the procedures

described in Goldman et al would have either the same effect on the varicose veins as Goldman et al or

would be useless, i.e., there would be no obvious outcome which would be superior to the result taught by

Goldman et al itself. Thus in the present case, since the outcome would not any different, there would be

no incentive to combine the teachings of Goldman et al with that of Dew et al.

Remark 12: (NO NEW MATTER)

Applicant submits that the amendments presented herein present no new matter. All of the subject

matter claimed herein are taught in the Drawings, Specification, Claims and Abstract and other portions

of the Application as originally filed.

///

Attorney Docket No.: NSL-501

CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully submits that for all the foregoing reasons, the claimed subject matter

describes patentable invention. Furthermore, Applicant submits that the specification is adequate and that

the claims are now in a condition for allowance. No new matter has been entered.

Applicant hereby respectfully requests Examiner to withdraw the cited references as anticipating

or obviating prior art, enter these amendments, find them descriptive of useful, novel and non-obvious

subject matter, and authorize the issuance of a utility patent for the truly meritorious, deserving invention

disclosed and claimed herein.

Without further, Applicant does not intend to waive any claims, arguments or defenses that they

may have in response to any official or informal communication, paper, office action, or otherwise, and

they expressly reserve the right to assert any traverse, additional grounds establishing specificity and

clarity, enablement, novelty, uniqueness, non-obviousness, or other patentability, etc.

Further, nothing herein shall be construed as establishing the basis for any prosecution history or

file wrapper estoppel, or similar in order to limit or bar any claim of infringement of the invention, either

directly or under the Doctrine of Equivalents.

///

Title: Endovenous Closure Of Varicose Veins With Mid Infrared Laser

Serial No.: 10/699,212 Attorney Docket No.: NSL-501 Respectfully submitted,

Dated: August 12, 2004

RAY K. SHAHANI / ATTORNEY AT LAW

By: Ray K. Shahani Esq.

Attorney for Applicants

Ray K. Shahani, Esq. Attorney at Law

Twin Oaks Office Plaza

477 Ninth Avenue, Suite 112

San Mateo, California 94402-1854

Telephone: (650) 348-1444 Facsimile: (650) 348-8655

E-mail: rks@attycubed.com

Registration No.: 37,554

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents attached hereto are being deposited in a postage prepaid, sealed envelope with the United States Postal Service using First Class Mail service under 37 CFR 1.08 on the date indicated and is addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria VA 22313-1450". Signed:

Date Mailed: August 12, 2004 .

Serial No.: 10/699,212 Attorney Docket No.: NSL-501